IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 29TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 8TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 12283 of 2007(K)

PETITIONER:

P.J.CHACKO, ASSISTANT SECRETARY,
(UNDER SUSPENSION), KADAVOOR SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE
BANK LTD., NO.1882, KADAVOOR, KOTHAMANGALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.JACOB
SRI.M.MOHAMMED SALIH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. KADAVOOR SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK
LTD.NO.1882, KADAVOOR, KOTHAMANGALAM REP.BY ITS
SECRETARY IN CHARGE.

2. THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE
KADAVOOR SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.1882,
KADAVOOR, KOTHAMANGALAM REP.BY ITS CONVENER.

3. JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES (G), ERNAKULAM.

R1 2 BY ADV. SRI.P.N.MOHANAN
R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. ANU SIVARAMAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 29/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN W.P(C) 12283/07

PETITIONER'S EXTS:

EXT.P1: TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THIRD RESPONDENT DT. 22.12.2006

EXT.P2: -DO- OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY SECOND RESPONDENT DT. 12.1.2007

EXT.P3: -DO- OF THE INTERIM REPLY FILED BY THE PETITIONER DT. 13.1.2007

EXT.P4: -DO- OF THE ADDL. REPLY SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER DT. 22.1.2007

EXT.P5: -DO- OF THE MEMO ISSUED BY 2ND RESPONDENT DT. 23.2.2007

EXT.P6: -DO- OF THE REPLY SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER DT. 26.2.2007

EXT.P7: -DO- OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY 1ST RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER DT. 6.3.2007

EXT.P8: -DO- OF THE LETTER SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER DT. 15.3.2007

EXT.P9: -DO- OF THE LETTER SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER DT. 22.3.2007

EXT.P10: -DO- OF THE RULE 176 PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE THIRD RESPONDENT DT. 26.3.2007

jj /TRUE COPY/

P.S. TO JUDGE

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

WP(C).No.12283 of 2007-K

= =

Dated this the 29th day of November, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner was the Secretary-in-charge of the first respondent Co-operative Bank till the elected committee was superseded. He has challenged the supersession. With the passage of time, disciplinary proceedings have culminated in his removal from service. Hence, this writ petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right, if any, of the petitioner to move appropriate statutory authorities for any relief in connection with the supersession or otherwise.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

Sha/